Successful treatment of *Fusarium* keratitis after photo refractive keratectomy
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A 39-year-old woman presented to our hospital with a history of photo refractive keratectomy (PRK), performed two weeks prior; slit-lamp examination revealed diffuse conjunctival congestion, corneal ulcer and stromal infiltration. After 5 days of antifungal and antibacterial treatment, the infiltrate progressively increased so that a therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty was necessary. The microbiological analyses revealed the presence of fungal filaments. Twenty days after surgery the patient had recurrent fungal infiltrate in the donor cornea with wound dehiscence. We performed a second penetrating keratoplasty. With the matrix-assisted-laser-desorption-ionization-time-of-flight analysis (MALDI-TOF) we identified a *Fusarium solani*. Intravenous amphothericine B, a combination of intracameral and intrastromal voriconazole and intracameral amphothericine B were administered. After 6 months from the last surgery the infection was eradicated. The management of fungal keratitis after PRK depends on many factors: In our experience, a prompt keratoplasty and the use of intracameral antifungal medication proved to be very effective.
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*Fusarium* species are common organisms that are present in soil, water and plants. These fungi are more frequent in tropical and temperate areas, and are the most well-known agents of fungal keratitis world-wide, causing up to 32% of reported infections in USA. *Fusarium* spp. are documented as the most common cause of keratitis.

Most infections are often preceded by trauma. Other risk factors include the use of antibiotics and corticosteroids, pre-existing eye diseases, foreign bodies' surgery and the use of contact lenses. Among *Fusarium* species, *F. solani*, *F. oxysporum* and *F. moniliforme* are the most frequently implicated in human infections.

**Case Report**

A 39-year-old woman presented to our hospital with a history of photo refractive keratectomy (PRK), performed two weeks prior. She complained severe visual loss, photophobia, redness and pain in right eye.

The patient was receiving therapy with topical steroids and antibiotic eye drops. The best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of the affected eye was limited to hand motion. Slit lamp examinations revealed diffuse conjunctival congestion and a corneal ulcer with stromal infiltration [Fig. 1a].

The ulcer bed and edges were scraped and were sent for bacteriological and mycological examination analysis.

Treatment with corticosteroid eye drops was suspended and the patient was started on the following drugs: Topical fortified 5% vancomycin, tobramicin 0.3%, moxifloxacin 0.5%, amphothericine B 0.15% at 4 h intervals; systemic antifungal and antibacterial drugs consisted of oral itraconazole at a dose of 200 mg daily with oral ciprofloxacin 1g daily, and intramuscular ceftiraxone 1g daily respectively.

After two days the cornea revealed a full thickness infiltrate with 1 mm hypopyon [Fig. 1b]. The infiltrate and the hypopyon progressively increased in size. After 5 days, the patient underwent a therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty with an 8-mm graft on a 7.75-mm bed using Barron Corneal Trephine. The explanted cornea was sent to the microbiology laboratory for further testing.

Post-surgery treatment consisted in topical norfloxacin 0.3% (6 times per day) and amphothericine B (4 times per day); systemic ciprofloxacin 1 g daily, ceftiraxone 1 g daily, itraconazole 200 mg daily and intramuscular metilprednisolone 20 mg daily [Fig. 1].

After 8 days, the culture of corneal scraping revealed the presence of fungal filaments. We decided in agreement with the infectious disease specialist, to add therapy with oral voriconazole, (800 mg per day on the first day, followed by 400 mg per day on successive days) and topical amphothericine B eye drops 6 times per day. The donor cornea and anterior chamber remained free from infiltrates until day 12, after keratoplasty, when the patient showed eye redness, pain, 1/50 of visual acuity, endothelial dusting and aqueous flare and cells. Oral voriconazole was changed to intravenous formulation, 8 mg/Kg twice per day.

Twenty days after surgery the patient had recurrent fungal infiltrate in the donor cornea with wound dehiscence [Fig. 2b]. We decided to perform a second penetrating keratoplasty.

**Results**

Because of the high degree of homology in culture between *Fusarium solani* or *oxysporum*, it has been difficult to rule out the two similar opportunistic pathogens from the biopsies performed for microbiological analysis. In order to have a proven and rapid differential diagnosis we sent biopsy material to the Institute of Microbiology of Bellinzona (Switzerland), where a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight analysis (MALDI-TOF) has been performed.
The fungal isolate was identified as *Fusarium solani*, occurring in both the first and second explanted corneas. After specific antifungal sensitivity testing, we decided to start the patient on the following agents: 3 mg/Kg twice a day of intravenous amphothericin pB; netilmycin 0.3%, moxifloxacin 0.5%, voriconazole 1% (drops every 2 h) and diclofenac 0.1% (drops every 8 h).

During the following days, we performed a combination of intracameral/intrastromal voriconazole (50 μg/0.1 ml) in addition to intracameral amphothericine B (10 microg/0.1 mL), in three different administrations.[4,5]

After 6 m from the last surgery the infection was eradicated, the donor cornea was clear, and the BCVA was 7/10 [Fig. 2c].

**Discussion**

In this case report we describe the therapeutic management of fungal keratitis post PRK.

The incidence of infectious complications post PRK is relatively low and the pathogens primarily involved are Gram-positive organisms.[6] To our knowledge, only few cases of fungal keratitis are reported.[7,8]

The use of bandage contact lens (BCL) and topical steroid therapy, provided in the postoperative course of the PRK together with the breakdown of the barrier function of the corneal epithelium, may cause a predisposition to fungal corneal infection. A variety of factors can determine the course of a fungal keratitis such as early diagnosis, sensitivity to drug therapy and virulence of the fungus.

In our case, the natural history of the infection was influenced by appropriate timing of intervention; in fact, the choice to perform a penetrating keratoplasty proved to be effective in containing the infection and preventing corneal perforation. Our patient had a recurrence of the infection that can be explained by the delay in starting the specific therapy with voriconazole, [due to the time required for microbial culture (8 days)] and the need to perform the MALDI-TOF in order to identify the species of *Fusarium*.

**Conclusion**

The management of fungal keratitis after PRK depends on many factors: In our experience, the decision of performing a prompt keratoplasty was aimed at reducing the corneal infection in the first occurrence, and to solve it in the second manifestation. Finally the use of intracameral antifungal medication proved to be very effective in avoiding the recurrence of infection.
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